

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,930	IKEDA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Adrienne C. Johnstone	1791	

All Participants:

Status of Application: rejected

(1) Adrienne C. Johnstone. (3) _____.

(2) Joseph Fox. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 December 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

all

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Adrienne C. Johnstone/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants requested a suggestion by the examiner of allowable claim language; the examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment which would place the application in condition for allowance (see attachment faxed to applicants 20 November 2009); applicants agreed to the proposed amendment but requested editorial changes in the specification (see attachment faxed to the examiner 03 December 2009); the requested editorial changes to the specification were accepted by the examiner.